

New Editorial Comments
9/14/2013

Decision: Take time to read the comments both previous and new and include all your responses for the manuscript.

1. Authors were finishing my advice in rush and forgot many things to include in the manuscript. Such as:

They have not changed the conclusion in the abstract. There is still information there which is not mentioned in the results section of the ABSTRACT, for instance: "There is a potentiality in growing tea oil camellia as the high net income of 2,426 US\$ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ for 29-year-old plantation, much higher than other agricultural and forestry activities."

This information is not mentioned in the result section of the ABSTRACT. Results section describes mostly about the fertilizer and production increase NOT about the cost –benefit. I am talking about the ABSTRACT of the manuscript.

2. Similarly, in authors' response /comments for editorial advice, they described their response for the editors but did not include that in the manuscript for readers. Such as point 11, 12, 18, 19 that they highlighted in pink color.
3. Please advise authors to look all my comments of 9/13/2013 and 9/14/2013 – take time to understand them and then include the response and changes in the manuscript so that readers can understand them.